



Redefining Regional Development: The Case for an ASEAN Development Bank

Brice Tseen Fu Lee^{1*}, Juan Pablo Sims²

¹ School of International Relations and Public Affairs, Fudan University, 200433, Shanghai-China

² Faculty of Government, Universidad Del Desarrollo, 7550000, Santiago- Chile

INFO ARTIKEL

Dikirim: Des 08, 2023

Diterima: Mar 06, 2024

Dipublikasi: Jul 31, 2024

KATA KUNCI:

ASEAN; Development Bank;
Foreign Direct Investment;
Governance; Regional
Integration.

KORESPONDEN:

Brice Tseen Fu Lee

School of International Relations
and Public Affairs, Fudan
University

Email:

briceleetseenfu@gmail.com

SITASI CANTUMAN:

Lee, B. T. F., & Sims, J. P. (2024).
Redefining Regional Development:
The Case for an ASEAN
Development Bank. *Journal of
Political Issues*. 6(1); 1-19.
<https://doi.org/10.33019/jpi.v6i1.160>



DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.33019/jpi.v6i1.160>

LISENSI:



Attribution-NonCommercial-
ShareAlike 4.0 International
(CC- BY-NC-SA 4.0)



ABSTRAK

Abstract Amidst ASEAN's vibrant economic expansion lies an urgent need for a specialized financial institution—an ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB)—to harness and guide this growth. The region's dynamic diversity in development stages presents a unique opportunity for the ASDB to act as the catalyst for economic resilience and regional integration. This urgency is underscored by the potential for the ASDB to provide targeted financial strategies, directly addressing the specific challenges faced by member states. A robust and equitable governance structure will be crucial for the ASDB to facilitate strategic decision-making and sustainable development. The establishment of the ASDB is not just timely but imperative to ensure that ASEAN's economic growth is balanced, inclusive, and sustainable, positioning the region as an integrated, resilient economic powerhouse on the global stage.

Abstrak Dalam ekspansi ekonomi ASEAN yang dinamis terdapat kebutuhan mendesak akan lembaga keuangan khusus—Bank Pembangunan ASEAN (ASDB)—untuk mengarahkan dan memandu pertumbuhan ini. Keragaman dinamis wilayah ini dalam tahapan pembangunan menyajikan peluang unik bagi ASDB untuk bertindak sebagai katalisator bagi ketahanan ekonomi dan integrasi regional. Urgensi ini ditekankan oleh potensi ASDB untuk menyediakan strategi keuangan yang ditargetkan, langsung mengatasi tantangan-tantangan khusus yang dihadapi oleh negara-negara anggota. Struktur tata kelola yang kuat dan adil akan menjadi krusial bagi ASDB untuk memfasilitasi pengambilan keputusan strategis dan pembangunan yang berkelanjutan. Pendirian ASDB bukan hanya tepat waktu tetapi juga imperatif untuk memastikan bahwa pertumbuhan ekonomi ASEAN seimbang, inklusif, dan berkelanjutan, memposisikan wilayah ini sebagai kekuatan ekonomi yang terintegrasi dan tangguh di panggung global.

TENTANG PENULIS:

Brice Tseen Fu Lee, the author is a Senior Researcher for Universidad del Desarrollo, a Research Analyst for Oxford Global Society, and a PhD Candidate at Fudan University.

Juan Pablo Sim, the author, is a graduate of the Master's in International Relations program at the University of Melbourne, Australia. He is a Political Scientist with a focus on Public Policy from Universidad del Desarrollo. Currently, he is pursuing a PhD (c) in International Politics at Fudan University, China.

INTRODUCTION

The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), established in 1967, has evolved from a modest regional grouping into a formidable intergovernmental organization, representing the confluence of diverse cultures, histories, and economies. Encompassing ten Southeast Asian nations, ASEAN has emerged as an economic powerhouse, boasting a combined GDP exceeding \$2.8 trillion, positioning itself as the fifth-largest economy globally (Thangavelu & Narjoko, 2014). This meteoric rise underscores the region's strategic importance in the global economic matrix, influencing trade, investment, and geopolitical dynamics.

Historically, development banks have played a pivotal role in orchestrating the socio-economic narratives of regions. These institutions, by channeling financial resources, disseminating technical know-how, and offering policy guidance, have been the linchpins supporting infrastructural growth, mitigating inequalities, and championing the cause of sustainable development. Within the ASEAN context, the indispensability of such banks is accentuated, given the region's ambitious vision for comprehensive economic integration juxtaposed against a backdrop of challenges, which span from pronounced infrastructure gaps to intricate socio-economic disparities as well as security issues (Bibi & Lee, 2023; Ventouri, 2018; Yuan & Lee, 2023b).

The Asian Development Bank (ADB), since its inception in 1966, has been a dominant actor in shaping the developmental contours of the broader Asian region. Its commendable endeavors in infrastructure augmentation, poverty alleviation, and fostering regional collaboration have left indelible marks. However, a critical introspection reveals certain lacunae in its operational paradigm. The consistent leadership of the ADB by Japanese presidents, combined with its overarching Asian focus, has engendered concerns regarding its capacity to genuinely comprehend and address the distinct needs and aspirations of the ASEAN member states (Chatterjee, 2005).

This observation accentuates the research gap in the existing literature: while the contributions of broader institutions like the ADB are well-documented, there is a paucity of comprehensive studies exploring the potential benefits, challenges, and implications of a dedicated ASEAN Development Bank. Such an institution, with its laser-focused mandate on the ASEAN region, promises a suite of tailored solutions that are harmoniously aligned with the region's socio-cultural nuances and economic priorities. Beyond the tangible economic dividends, an ASEAN-centric bank could be a crucible for fostering enhanced regional solidarity, ensuring that the collective voice of ASEAN resonates more emphatically in global financial and policy arenas (Pham, 2015).

In light of these considerations, this study delves deep into the discourse surrounding the establishment of an ASEAN Development Bank, weaving together historical contexts, current challenges, and future aspirations to present a holistic perspective on this pivotal proposition.

Research Gap

In the sphere of regional development banking, Southeast Asia presents a unique case for examination. The academic and policy discussions have long recognized the contributions of the Asian Development Bank (ADB), yet there is a distinct lack of focus on the ASEAN region's specific requirements. This oversight calls for a detailed investigation into the creation and potential impact of an ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB), a concept not thoroughly analyzed in existing scholarship.

This research identifies a critical need for a concentrated review of ASEAN's particular socio-cultural, economic, and geopolitical landscapes, which are markedly different from other Asian regions. An ASEAN-centric development bank could propose solutions more aligned with the unique fabric of the region, as opposed to the broader, sometimes less relevant strategies implemented by continental institutions.

Moreover, the call for ASEAN to forge a stronger, unified representation in the global financial arena and to bolster regional solidarity has yet to be fully explored in the context of such a specialized bank. While there are studies on regional development banks elsewhere, a nuanced comparative analysis drawing parallels for an ASEAN-focused entity is notably absent. Such an analysis could illuminate best practices and inform on the potential pitfalls and strategic pathways for the ASDB.

Finally, the future trajectory of the ASDB, including pilot projects, governance frameworks, and long-term effects, remains largely unexplored. A visionary examination of these elements would be instrumental in guiding policy decisions, offering stakeholders a clear vision of what the ASDB could become.

This research aims to fill these gaps, providing a comprehensive assessment of the ASDB's proposed inception, advantages, challenges, and its wider significance. By venturing into this relatively uncharted territory, the study endeavors to lay the groundwork for informed decision-making and to enrich the discourse surrounding the ASDB's establishment and its projected influence on ASEAN's development narrative.

METHODS

To comprehensively understand the potential impact and operational framework for the ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB), our methodology is centered on three fundamental research approaches: case studies, literature review, and policy analysis.

Case Studies: We conducted an in-depth examination of existing regional development banks (RDBs) to draw parallels and gain insights into the potential operational dynamics of the ASDB. These case studies provided real-world examples of successes and challenges faced by RDBs, offering a rich context for understanding the intricacies of regional banking. We explored how these banks have influenced economic development, navigated political complexities, security issues, social issues and addressed regional disparities (Demeure & Lee, 2023b; Yuan & Lee, 2023a).

Literature Review: A systematic review of scholarly articles, economic reports, and historical data was undertaken to build a theoretical foundation for the ASDB's establishment. This literature review spanned topics such as green finance innovation, infrastructure development, corporate recovery lending, and long-term financing for large-scale projects. Through this process, we assessed the theoretical underpinnings that support the role of RDBs in economic growth, sustainability, and development. We also considered studies that articulate the importance of localized banking practices in supporting SMEs and fostering economic stability.

Policy Analysis: We scrutinized existing economic policies and frameworks within the ASEAN region to identify gaps that the ASDB could fill. This analysis involved a critical evaluation of current ASEAN policies, development strategies, and financial mechanisms. By comparing these with global best practices and the operational models of successful RDBs, we outlined strategic recommendations for the ASDB. This approach ensured that the proposed policy structures for the ASDB are robust, equitable, and effective in addressing the specific needs of the ASEAN region.

In summary, by integrating the empirical evidence from case studies, the scholarly insights from literature reviews, and the strategic implications from policy analysis, we have formulated a comprehensive methodology that underpins the operational blueprint and strategic roadmap for the establishment of the ASDB. This multi-faceted approach ensures a well-rounded and deeply informed foundation for the ASDB's development, aiming to maximize its impact on the ASEAN region's growth and integration.

RESULT

The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) has been a pivotal player in the global economy, with its member states collectively forming a significant economic bloc. Historically, ASEAN has undertaken various initiatives to foster economic cooperation and integration within the region. For instance, the ASEAN Economic Community aims to promote free investment and capital flows, emphasizing the importance of regional financial cooperation to mitigate risks associated with financial integration (Aldaba & Yap, 2009; OUYANG et al., 2022). Moreover, ASEAN's efforts towards economic integration have been influenced by the adoption of certain EU-style institutions, reflecting a convergence of regional integration processes (Jetschke & Murray, 2012).

Existing financial institutions in ASEAN and their roles: Within the ASEAN framework, there have been calls to strengthen existing institutional structures by providing them with adequate mandates, financial resources, and human capital to support the association's growing activities (Rattanaseevee, 2014). This is crucial for deepening financial integration in the region, emphasizing the development of financial markets, and ensuring greater macroeconomic and policy coordination (Rillo, 2018).

Comparison with other regional development banks: Regional development banks play a significant role in fostering economic growth and development within their respective regions. For instance, the African Development Bank (AfDB) and the Asian Development Bank (ADB) have been instrumental in promoting good governance in their policies, ensuring that they do not infringe on the national sovereignty of recipient countries (Bøås, 1998). These banks also focus on institutional and political interactions within the public realm to achieve their objectives. Moreover, regional development banks, in general, can contribute to setting up or strengthening specialized regional institutions required to address complex coordination, cost-allocation, financing, and conflict resolution challenges (Perry, 2013b).

Therefore, while ASEAN has made significant strides in economic cooperation and integration, there is a clear need for a dedicated development bank that caters specifically to the region's unique challenges and opportunities. Comparing ASEAN's financial institutions with other regional development banks provides insights into best practices and potential areas of improvement for the proposed ASEAN Development Bank.

DISCUSSION**The Need for a Focused Regional Understanding in the Context of an ASEAN Development Bank**

The vision for an ASEAN Development Bank (ADB) hinges on a deep appreciation of the region's distinct cultural, historical, and economic tapestry. Insight into this tapestry is not merely academic; it's foundational to the bank's guiding principles, strategic operational plans, and policy formulations. A tailored, region-specific approach guarantees that ADB's undertakings will align with, and fully support, the ambitions and exigencies of ASEAN member states, thereby enhancing receptivity and fostering united efforts.

This discourse explores the imperative of such profound regional cognizance. The cultural and historical underpinnings of the ASEAN bloc, comprised of ten diverse yet interconnected member states, fundamentally shape its socio-economic interactions and trajectories. Studies such as Ryoo (2009) examination of the regionalization of cultural flows, shed light on the tendency within ASEAN to engage more deeply with cultural outputs from nations sharing a relatable heritage, an engagement that often outweighs influences from larger global powers. This intra-regional cultural solidarity, coupled with a remarkable capacity to move beyond historical frictions in favor of common interests—as Katsumata (2003) observes—speaks volumes about the strength of these connections.

The economic narrative of ASEAN is one of deliberate and shared pursuit of integration. The establishment of the ASEAN Economic Community stands as a testament to the region's commitment to enabling free investment, trade, and the movement of capital. Aldaba & Yap (2009) articulate the importance of regional financial cooperation in this context, both as a safeguard against the risks of financial integration and as a means to fortify economic bonds between member nations. Notably, the economic motivations for ASEAN's enlargement have been informed by significant disparities in development levels among its members, highlighting the urgent need for a dedicated entity like the ADB to address these divergences (Amer, 1999).

The challenge and opportunity matrix of ASEAN demands bespoke institutional responses. An entity such as the ADB is envisioned to craft and implement solutions attuned to the collective challenges of the region. Rodriguez & Soeparwata (2012) point to the economic performance variances across the ASEAN countries, underscoring the necessity for nuanced strategies that respond to the individual needs and aspirations of each state.

In sum, a deep, nuanced grasp of the ASEAN region's distinctive landscape is critical for the ADB's inception and its operational triumph. Such comprehensive regional understanding is the cornerstone to ensuring the bank's initiatives are efficacious, resonating deeply with the values and aspirations of the ASEAN collective).

Limitations of Broader Institutions: Implications for the Formation of an ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB)

The Asian continent's diversity presents a substantial challenge to broad-spectrum institutions such as the Asian Development Bank (ADB). When one institution attempts to serve an array of nations, each with its own distinct socio-economic, political, and cultural realities, certain limitations become apparent. These constraints not only reflect the struggles of such expansive organizations but also underscore the need for region-specific entities like the proposed ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB).

The ADB, instrumental in guiding Asia's development, contends with the varying priorities of its member nations. Kim Thi Tran et al. (2021) discuss the delicate balance between institutional quality and economic growth, suggesting a quality-threshold beyond which growth effects may diminish, particularly in lower-income nations. This insight supports the notion that a regional bank could more adeptly navigate the diverse priorities within ASEAN, offering more impactful, bespoke interventions.

Asia's development is also characterized by a dichotomy in resource allocation. Nations at different developmental stages mobilize resources distinctively, with wealthier countries relying more on domestic resources (Jha, 1994). Rogers (2014) warns of the risks larger institutions face in perpetuating inequalities due to uneven resource distribution. An ASDB could potentially ensure a more balanced and deliberate allocation of resources, smoothing out these inequalities.

Furthermore, the extensive bureaucracies of large institutions can introduce significant delays. Wan (1995) exposes the complex ties between the ADB and entities like the Japanese Ministry of Finance, which can lead to bureaucratic inertia, a sentiment echoed by Uhlin (2011). A more focused and regionally dedicated ASDB could navigate around such bureaucratic hurdles, promising swifter and more responsive action.

In conclusion, while the ADB has shaped Asia's developmental path, its broad mandate can be restrictive. The challenges of representation, resource allocation, and operational efficiency present a strong case for the ASDB. This institution could provide more precise, region-specific support, ensuring ASEAN's development is not only accelerated but also equitable and resilient.

Strengthening Regional Autonomy through the Establishment of an ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB)

The concept of an ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB) heralds a new chapter for the ASEAN region, promising to bolster autonomy, enhance regional unity, and tackle the diverse developmental disparities among its members. This initiative is poised to be more than a financial institution; it represents a significant stride towards developmental sovereignty and geopolitical cohesion for the ASEAN member states.

Self-reliance is at the heart of this endeavor, encapsulating a vision where ASEAN can independently navigate its developmental journey, free from excessive external dependencies. The establishment of the ASDB could be a critical step in realizing this ambition, anchoring a financial institution within the ASEAN framework that aligns with the region's unique socio-cultural and economic needs. This move could spur sustainable growth paths tailored to the region and inspire economic advancement from within (Lee & Sims, 2023). Leu (2011) echoes the importance of such autonomy, particularly within trade ecosystems, where regional entities like ASEAN can exercise greater policy independence. This autonomy is vital for member states to define and chase their developmental goals, keeping regional interests insulated from outside influences.

Moreover, the ASDB could serve as a beacon of regional solidarity, extending beyond economic cooperation to become a symbol of geopolitical unity, shared values, and collective aspirations. Soesastro (1995) views intra-ASEAN cooperation as a stepping stone towards broader Asian regional collaboration, potentially initiating a ripple effect of cooperative dynamics. Amidst an evolving regional framework where the traditional "ASEAN Way" of dialogue and consensus is being reassessed, the ASDB could emerge as an innovative force, strengthening regional ties and demonstrating ASEAN's commitment to adaptability (Kim, 2007).

Finally, the ASDB is seen as a vital instrument to bridge the developmental gaps within ASEAN. Rather than simplistically dividing the region into 'older' and 'newer' members, Severino (2007) emphasizes the spectrum of development stages, encompassing various dimensions beyond the economic. The ASDB has the potential to be a pivotal force in harmonizing these stages, promoting equitable growth across the region. Sharma et al. (2021) highlight the uneven adoption of Health Technology Assessment (HTA) across ASEAN, illustrating the type of disparities that necessitate a focused developmental approach. The ASDB, by tailoring its initiatives to address specific needs such as healthcare, infrastructure, and strategic planning, can help ensure that every member state's challenges and goals are met, leading to a more thriving, cohesive, and resilient ASEAN.

The potential establishment of the ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB) marks a seminal moment in the ASEAN region's developmental narrative. This initiative is emblematic of a deeper, more profound ethos: the assertion of regional autonomy. Regional autonomy, in the context of ASEAN, encapsulates the collective capability of its member states to independently chart their developmental course, sculpting policies and strategies that deeply resonate with their unique socio-cultural, economic, and geopolitical fabric.

One of the most salient advantages of such autonomy is the crafting of developmental strategies tailored to the specific needs and aspirations of the ASEAN region. Unlike larger, more expansive institutions that cater to a vast and diverse array of nations, the ASDB, with its ASEAN-centric mandate, ensures that interventions are intricately aligned with the region's realities. This alignment not only augments the efficacy of these strategies but also ensures their sustainability, given that they are rooted in a profound understanding of the region's nuances.

Furthermore, this autonomy translates into enhanced responsiveness and agility in decision-making. The shared histories, values, and understanding among ASEAN member

states facilitate swift, adaptive decisions, crucial in a dynamic global landscape. This agility is complemented by a reinforced sense of regional solidarity. By prioritizing the region's collective interests, a deeper sense of unity and shared purpose is fostered, which is invaluable in navigating the complexities of geopolitics and international diplomacy.

Economic resilience is another byproduct of such autonomy. The ASDB, with its focus on ASEAN-specific investments and collaborations, can act as a bulwark against external economic shocks, ensuring stability in times of global economic uncertainties (Ali & Lee, 2022; Demeure & Lee, 2023a; Lee et al., 2023). Moreover, by championing regional autonomy, the ASDB ensures that local stakeholders, spanning governments, businesses, and civil society, are empowered. Their voices, aspirations, and concerns become central to the bank's agenda, leading to more inclusive and holistic growth.

In essence, the ASDB, with its potential "ASEAN for ASEAN" philosophy, is not merely a financial institution; it's a manifestation of the region's aspirations for self-determination. By focusing exclusively on ASEAN, without the distractions or dilutions of broader mandates, the bank can ensure that the region's developmental trajectory is both sustainable and resonant with its unique identity.

Rationale for an ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB)

The ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB) represents a strategic initiative, borne out of the need to address critical developmental imbalances and to harness the collective potential within the ASEAN region. It embodies a profound commitment to fostering equitable growth and regional integration.

Economic disparities within ASEAN are stark, with member states displaying varying degrees of development across a spectrum of socio-economic indicators. These disparities, stretching across sectors such as health, education, and infrastructure, must be addressed to achieve a harmonious regional development. The ASDB is envisioned as a catalyst in this regard, offering solutions that are custom-fitted to the requirements of each country within the region, thus ensuring a more equitable development path for all (Severino, 2007). The ASDB are also able to help solve social policies as well as economic policies benefiting countries that needs support (Khoo & Lee, 2024; Lee et al., 2024; Severino, 2007)

In addition, ASEAN's rapid urbanization and industrial growth spur an urgent demand for robust infrastructure. With the region's energy needs expected to triple by 2035, reflecting trends such as population growth and urban expansion, the need for sustainable infrastructure development is clear (Shi, 2015; Sims et al., 2023). The ASDB could play a crucial role in financing and guiding infrastructure initiatives, aligning them with the region's specific needs and aspirations.

The potential for regional cooperation, particularly in the energy and transportation sectors, is immense within ASEAN. Projects like an integrated power grid could revolutionize energy distribution across member states, enhancing the efficiency of renewable energy utilization (Huber et al., 2015). Championed by the ASDB, these regional undertakings could significantly strengthen intra-ASEAN connectivity, promoting a more cohesive and collaborative economic framework.

Lastly, the historical role of development banks in supporting large-scale infrastructure projects is well-documented, particularly their effectiveness in risk mitigation (Rezende, 2018). The ASDB, with a focus on sustainable development, has the opportunity to ensure that infrastructure investments are not only economically sound but also environmentally and socially responsible (Marodon, 2022). Through the ASDB, ASEAN can look forward to not just economic growth, but a growth that is inclusive, responsible, and sustainable.

In wrapping up the discussion on the rationale for the ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB), it becomes evident that the bank's establishment is not just a strategic move but a

necessary evolution for the ASEAN region. The economic landscape of ASEAN, marked by its pronounced disparities, necessitates a dedicated institution that can provide bespoke solutions tailored to the unique socio-economic fabric of each member state. The ASDB, with its concentrated focus, is poised to fill this gap, ensuring that no nation is left behind in the collective march towards progress.

Furthermore, the rapid urbanization and industrialization trends that have swept across the region in recent decades have brought to the fore the urgent need for robust and sustainable infrastructure development. The ASDB, with its potential access to resources and expertise, can serve as the linchpin in orchestrating and financing large-scale infrastructure projects. These projects, in turn, can act as catalysts, spurring economic growth, enhancing connectivity, and fostering regional integration.

The potential for collaborative regional projects, especially in pivotal sectors like energy and transportation, offers another compelling reason for the ASDB's establishment. Such projects not only bolster intra-ASEAN connectivity but also pave the way for a more integrated and collaborative economic environment. The ASDB can serve as the nexus for these collaborative ventures, ensuring that they are executed with a vision that aligns with the region's long-term developmental goals. Moreover, the bank's unwavering commitment to sustainable development is of paramount significance in today's global context. With environmental concerns taking center stage, the ASDB's focus on projects that are environmentally sustainable, economically viable, and socially inclusive ensures that the ASEAN region remains at the forefront of sustainable growth.

In essence, the establishment of the ASDB is not just about creating another financial institution; it's about crafting a beacon for the ASEAN region's aspirations. By focusing exclusively on the region, the ASDB is uniquely positioned to address its multifaceted challenges while simultaneously harnessing its vast opportunities. This dual capability ensures that the ASDB can play a transformative role in sculpting a future for the ASEAN region that is not only prosperous but also sustainable, inclusive, and reflective of its rich cultural and economic tapestry.

Challenges and Concerns

The establishment of the ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB) is a multifaceted endeavor, fraught with potential benefits as well as significant challenges that require careful consideration. Understanding these challenges is essential for stakeholders to navigate complexities and strategically address the risks involved.

Diversity in political ideologies and historical backgrounds among ASEAN members adds a layer of complexity to the establishment of a cohesive regional development bank. For instance, the South China Sea disputes highlight the kind of geopolitical tensions that may affect the bank's decision-making processes, reflecting the intricate web of historical and territorial contentions within the bloc (Acharya, 2018; Bibi & Lee, 2023).

Furthermore, the success of the ASDB will greatly depend on its governance structure and the financial contributions from member states. Given the economic disparities across ASEAN, determining fair financial inputs from each member is a daunting task. The governance framework must balance fair representation with the need for operational efficacy to ensure the bank's agility and responsiveness to the region's needs and issues that includes social, development, security and etc. (Anginer et al., 2014; Lee & Bibi Ms, 2023).

The ASDB must also navigate the complexities of its role in relation to existing institutions. With numerous financial entities already operating within the ASEAN framework and beyond, the potential for overlapping mandates is high. This overlap could lead to inefficiencies and dilute the impact of the ASDB, making the clarity of its unique role and responsibilities vital for its effective operation (Bhaumik et al., 2018; Bloch, 1968).

Finally, the potential for debt accumulation poses a significant threat to the financial health of the ASDB and its member states. If the member states rely too heavily on the bank's resources, there is a risk of accruing unsustainable levels of debt, which could destabilize the bank's financial standing and, consequently, the economic health of the entire region. Rigorous financial controls and risk management strategies will be essential to safeguard the bank's stability (Kyle & Sachs, 1984).

It becomes evident that this initiative represents more than just a financial institution; it embodies the collective aspirations and future trajectory of the ASEAN region. The vision for the ASDB is both grandiose in its scope and profound in its potential implications, promising to usher in a new era of regional development, cooperation, and integration. However, like any ambitious endeavor, the journey towards the ASDB's fruition is replete with complexities and challenges. These challenges, ranging from political intricacies to economic disparities and overlapping institutional mandates, necessitate a deep, introspective analysis. Each challenge presents not just a potential roadblock but also an opportunity to refine the bank's foundational principles, ensuring that its operations and mandates are both resilient and adaptive.

The significance of these challenges cannot be understated. Yet, it's essential to recognize that they are not insuperable barriers but rather milestones that, when navigated with sagacity and collaboration, can strengthen the bank's foundational pillars. By adopting a proactive stance, engaging in rigorous analytical assessments, and fostering a spirit of regional collaboration, the ASDB can effectively address and mitigate these challenges. Furthermore, the bank's long-term success and sustainability will be contingent upon its ability to strike a delicate balance. On one hand, it must remain steadfast in its developmental objectives, driving economic growth, and fostering innovation. On the other, it must be attuned to the unique socio-cultural, political, and economic tapestry of the ASEAN region, ensuring that its initiatives resonate with the region's identity and are reflective of its diverse aspirations.

In essence, the ASDB represents a beacon of hope and a testament to the ASEAN region's ambition. While the road ahead is undeniably challenging, with careful planning, unwavering commitment, and a spirit of unity, the ASDB can stand as a monumental achievement, catalyzing growth and fortifying the ASEAN region's position on the global stage.

Potential Benefits of the ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB)

The proposal to establish the ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB) is an ambitious strategic move with the potential to bring about comprehensive benefits across economic, socio-cultural, and geopolitical spheres within the ASEAN region. Recognizing these benefits is crucial to understanding the far-reaching impact that the ASDB could have in transforming the region.

Regional integration and cooperation lie at the heart of the ASDB's mission. By facilitating closer ties among member states, the bank aims to enhance collaborative efforts that can lead to sustainable regional development. Such integration can have a cascading effect, potentially strengthening the region's position on the global stage (Yam et al., 1992; Yuan & Lee, 2023c). Institutions like the ASDB are uniquely positioned to promote sustainable development, tapping into the power of collective action and creating synergies among a variety of stakeholders (Sedlacek & Gaube, 2010).

Another significant advantage of the ASDB would be its ability to attract Foreign Direct Investment (FDI). FDI is a catalyst for transformation, offering more than just capital by also fostering technology transfer, enhancing managerial expertise, and creating jobs, which collectively contribute to robust economic growth (Alfaro et al., 2004).

The unique challenges of the ASEAN region require tailored solutions that generic policies cannot adequately address. The ASDB could focus on region-specific innovations, considering the cultural and economic nuances of ASEAN member states to formulate effective

strategies (Máté et al., 2020). This approach acknowledges the importance of cultural context in shaping innovation, emphasizing policy-making that is in harmony with local characteristics (Tödtling et al., 2011).

Lastly, the ASDB is expected to be a champion of sustainable and inclusive growth. While the ASEAN region has experienced significant economic advancement, it is vital to ensure that future growth is environmentally sustainable and socially inclusive. The ASDB could leverage regional frameworks to support growth strategies that balance economic development with social equity and environmental stewardship (Benneworth et al., 2002; Sun et al., 2018). This integrative approach is key to ensuring that the region's development benefits all its people and preserves its resources for future generations.

In a comprehensive assessment of the prospective advantages, the ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB) emerges not merely as a fiscal entity but as a pivotal strategic instrument with the potential to significantly reshape the developmental trajectory of the ASEAN region. Its establishment signifies more than just economic growth; it represents a commitment to enhanced regional integration, attracting pivotal foreign direct investments, crafting tailored solutions for region-specific challenges, and championing sustainable and inclusive growth.

The ASDB's emphasis on addressing the unique challenges intrinsic to the ASEAN region, combined with its capacity to leverage regional opportunities, positions it to drive a growth paradigm that is not only robust in its economic outcomes but also deeply aligned with the cultural, social, and geopolitical nuances of the ASEAN community. This growth, underpinned by principles of sustainability and inclusivity, promises to be transformative, ensuring that no member state is left behind.

However, the realization of these benefits is contingent upon the meticulous design and execution of the bank's operations and mandates. It is imperative that the ASDB's strategies and initiatives are intricately aligned with the region's aspirations and challenges. Only through such alignment can the bank truly fulfill its promise, ensuring that the ASEAN region benefits holistically from its establishment and operations.

Proposed Structure and Governance of the ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB)

In the quest to establish the ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB), the pivotal elements that underpin its prospective success are becoming increasingly evident. These elements—its capital structure, decision-making processes, and the fostering of collaborative relationships—serve as the core pillars that will dictate the bank's effectiveness, reach, and enduring presence. They are the crux of the ASDB's potential to make a significant contribution to the ASEAN region's development goals, underlining the strategic essentials that will propel the region's progress. The impact these pillars have in directing the ASDB's journey, and consequently the ASEAN region's future, is immense and indelible.

A robust capital structure is the bedrock of any regional development bank, setting the stage for its capacity to act, financial health, and project implementation potential. For the ASDB, member state contributions are a testament to the ASEAN community's collective investment in the bank's objectives, reflecting the diverse economic statuses and development goals of the member countries. A tiered contribution system could promote equity and commitment, ensuring contributions are in line with each nation's financial ability and the anticipated benefits derived from the bank's activities (Perry, 2013a). Furthermore, the capital framework must be adaptable, primed to adjust to the changing economic conditions within member states.

The decision-making processes adopted by the ASDB will be a determining factor in its success. In the dynamic context of ASEAN, decisions must be swift yet comprehensive.

Employing scenario planning can lead to more strategic and well-informed decision-making, allowing the bank to implement proactive policies (Chakraborty, 2010). Moreover, a structured decision-making approach, as outlined by Von Koppenfels (2001), can ensure systematic and consensual policies that reflect the collective aspirations of the ASEAN community, fostering transparency and inclusiveness.

Lastly, the ASDB's interactions with other ASEAN bodies and external entities will be crucial in shaping its sphere of influence. The "circle-and-spoke" cooperation model positions the ASDB as a central hub within the ASEAN development network, linked to a variety of regional and global organizations (Lissovolik & Vinokurov, 2019). Such a framework promotes cohesive collaboration and positions the ASDB as a leader in regional development efforts. By building strong partnerships with international development banks and organizations, the ASDB can access a wealth of knowledge, establish best practices, and secure additional resources, thereby maximizing its developmental impact and nurturing a culture of cooperative growth.

In drawing this section to a close, the foundational pillars of the ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB) – its capital structure, decision-making processes, and collaborative relationships – stand out as paramount. The bank's capital structure serves as more than just a fiscal blueprint; it embodies the collective commitment and trust of the ASEAN member states. This structure not only ensures the bank's financial robustness but also symbolizes the shared responsibility of each nation, emphasizing that every member has a vested interest in the bank's endeavors. Such a foundation is indispensable, laying the groundwork for the bank's credibility, sustainability, and capacity to finance transformative initiatives that can reshape the region's developmental trajectory.

Furthermore, the essence of the ASDB's effectiveness is encapsulated in its decision-making. Given the ASEAN region's multifaceted nature, decisions must be both inclusive and agile. A structured decision-making approach ensures that the bank remains adaptable, aligning its strategies with the region's ever-evolving realities, while also being proactive in anticipating future challenges and opportunities. This adaptability is crucial, ensuring that the bank remains a relevant and potent force in a constantly shifting regional landscape.

Beyond its internal mechanisms, the ASDB's external relationships will be pivotal. In the interconnected global landscape, the bank's ability to cultivate and nurture collaborative ties with both regional and global institutions will be a determinant of its influence and impact. Such relationships not only amplify the bank's reach, allowing it to tap into broader knowledge pools and resources, but also underscore its commitment to a collective vision of development. In this collaborative paradigm, growth and development are perceived not as the sole responsibility of a single entity but as a joint endeavor.

In essence, by emphasizing these three pillars, the ASDB is poised to transcend its role as a mere financial entity. It has the potential to emerge as a beacon of regional development, reflecting the aspirations and ethos of the ASEAN community. Yet, this vision's fruition hinges on strategic foresight, meticulous planning, and an unwavering dedication to the values and principles that the ASEAN community cherishes.

Case Studies: Gleaning Insights from Regional Development Banks

The blueprint for the ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB) can draw significantly from the experiences of regional development banks (RDBs) around the globe. An examination of their trajectories—what worked, what didn't, and how they adapted—provides a wealth of insights that the ASDB can leverage to enhance its efficacy within the ASEAN region.

Regional banks, with their concentrated geographic focus, bring distinct advantages, especially when it comes to addressing the needs of Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs). These entities are often hailed as the economic linchpins of local economies, pivotal

for innovation and job creation. Meslier et al. (2020) have shown that regional banks are adept at alleviating short-term credit constraints for SMEs, underpinning the importance of a banking system that can understand and respond to the nuanced needs of these smaller enterprises. By adopting a similar approach, the ASDB can ensure that the varied and specific challenges of ASEAN SMEs are met, nurturing a dynamic and robust business environment.

The promotion of local economic growth is another arena where regional banks have a proven track record. Hakenes et al. (2014) discuss the effectiveness of small regional banks in fostering growth, particularly in areas that might struggle with issues such as access to credit or lower financial endowments. Such banks are crucial in ensuring that development is not a blanket strategy but one that is intricately woven into the socio-economic tapestry of the region, promoting sustainable and equitable progress.

In terms of crisis management, regional banks have historically played a stabilizing role. Their intrinsic understanding of local conditions enables them to act swiftly and supportively in times of economic distress. The support offered by German Hausbanks during crises, as examined by Flögel & Gärtner (2020), is a testament to the stabilizing influence these banks can exert, reinforcing economic stability and trust within their operational regions.

Moreover, the complexities of development finance demand cooperative strategies. As proposed by Lissovlik & Vinokurov (2019), a "circle-and-spoke" model could be instrumental for the ASDB, fostering collaboration with regional entities and financing systems to adopt a comprehensive approach to development, tapping into collective expertise and resources.

Finally, one of the fundamental challenges for RDBs is managing regional disparities. The research by Onder & Ozyildirim (2010) on state-owned banks elucidates the uneven impact these institutions can have across different provinces, often benefiting more developed areas while neglecting less affluent ones. This highlights the critical need for the ASDB to implement a balanced and inclusive strategy, ensuring that development is not just widespread but also reaches the less developed areas within the ASEAN community, thereby fostering a more unified and equitable growth.

The experiences of regional development banks worldwide offer a treasure trove of insights for the ASDB. By understanding the strategies that have worked, the challenges encountered, and the unique advantages of regional banks, the ASDB can craft a roadmap that is both visionary and grounded in practical realities. The onus lies in harnessing these lessons, ensuring that the ASDB emerges as a beacon of hope, growth, and collaboration for the ASEAN region

Potential Pilot Projects for the ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB): A Deep Dive into Strategic Initiatives

The inception of the ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB) heralds a pivotal opportunity to pioneer transformative projects that can significantly alter the developmental course of the ASEAN region. Taking cues from the successes of other regional development banks and global best practices, the ASDB is well-placed to initiate several pilot projects that promise considerable potential.

The emergence of green finance as a global imperative presents a strategic avenue for the ASDB to lead the region's sustainable development. Inspired by the effectiveness of dedicated zones highlighted by Wang et al. (2021), the ASDB could create centers of green innovation that intertwine technological advancement with industrial transformation. These zones could become the nucleus for substantial investments in environmental protection, potentially initiating a sustainable development movement across ASEAN. Such initiatives would not only mitigate environmental challenges but could also establish ASEAN as a vanguard of green finance and innovation.

Infrastructure is a crucial pillar of economic growth, enabling trade and development. As Perry (2013b) notes, regional development banks are instrumental in promoting infrastructure,

and the ASDB has the potential to be a significant player in this field. By funding and nurturing institutions that focus on infrastructure, the ASDB can confront a range of associated challenges, ensuring that infrastructural advancements align with ASEAN's specific requirements and growth targets.

Economic resilience, especially for businesses in times of downturns, is vital for regional stability. Local banks play a crucial role in corporate recovery lending, as Koetter et al. (2020) elucidate. The ASDB could emulate this by setting up specialized lending services to support businesses during economic shocks. This would offer a financial lifeline to enterprises, particularly SMEs, helping to sustain employment and economic dynamism through challenging periods.

Lastly, the financing of large-scale, long-term infrastructure projects, especially those with a sustainable focus, is a task well-suited for a development bank. As Arezki & Sy (2016) discuss, development banks are key in funding the earlier, riskier phases of such projects. The ASDB, with its concentrated regional focus and financial resources, can provide the necessary long-term investment to ensure that these ambitious projects not only commence but also achieve their intended outcomes, fostering comprehensive development across the ASEAN landscape.

The potential pilot projects for the ASDB offer a glimpse into the transformative impact the bank can have on the ASEAN region. By prioritizing green finance, infrastructure development, corporate recovery lending, and long-term financing for large projects, the ASDB can chart a course that is both visionary and grounded in the region's realities. These projects, drawing from global best practices and the experiences of other regional banks, set the stage for a new era of growth, collaboration, and sustainable development in the ASEAN region.

CONCLUSION

The vision of launching an ASEAN Development Bank (ADB) is laden with significant potential, promising to reshape the economic and developmental landscape of the ASEAN region. Insights gleaned from similar regional development initiatives paint a picture of the ADB's expansive impact.

The fabric of regional development is intrinsically linked to local-specific factors, where the regional context deeply influences developmental outcomes. Studies, such as those by Xu et al. (2016), emphasize that the strategic geographic positioning and long-term policy framing are essential in steering regional prosperity. In this light, the ADB's establishment is an opportunity to craft strategies that are uniquely attuned to the ASEAN milieu, promoting tailored growth and advancement.

Economic progression, while a primary goal, bears the risk of overextension. ADB's challenge lies in orchestrating economic objectives that avoid placing undue strain on both the regional economy and its constituent firms. As per the analysis by Chen et al. (2021), the bank's structure must therefore be geared towards fostering sustainable and inclusive growth. This approach ensures that development is not only robust but also equitably distributed, benefiting a wide spectrum of society.

The disparities in development across the ASEAN region are complex, often transcending simple economic differences to encompass broader socio-economic dimensions. Here, the ADB can play an instrumental role, acting as a balancing force that endeavors to uplift all member states, reflecting on Bakucs et al. (2018) findings. By channeling resources and attention to areas most in need, the ADB can help to mitigate these disparities, fostering a more unified and equitable regional growth.

Strengthening regional cooperation is a natural byproduct of such an institution's work. An ADB can enhance this collective spirit, drawing member states together in pursuit of

common developmental goals. This collaborative ethos, as Sedlacek & Gaube (2010) have noted, is essential for the region's cohesion and collective advancement.

As ASEAN leaders contemplate this initiative, the path forward involves a series of strategic actions. Deliberations on the ADB's structure, governance, and mandate must be exhaustive, engaging all stakeholders in a dialogue that defines the bank's objectives and operational ethos. Lessons from existing regional development banks are a treasure trove of wisdom, providing a historical lens through which to view potential pitfalls and successes, guiding the ADB's formation.

Inclusivity remains a cornerstone of the ADB's foundational principles, ensuring that all member states are represented and have a stake in the bank's operations. This inclusivity is not just a moral imperative but a strategic one, critical to the bank's success and acceptance across the region.

Lastly, a clear, actionable roadmap outlining the steps for the ADB's establishment and function is vital. Such a framework brings transparency, inspires confidence, and aligns stakeholders towards a shared vision, ensuring that the ASEAN Development Bank emerges as a beacon of regional unity and economic progress.

Therefore, in conclusion, the discourse surrounding the establishment of the ASEAN Development Bank (ASDB) is emblematic of the broader aspirations of the ASEAN region to chart a self-determined, sustainable, and inclusive developmental trajectory. As the global economic landscape becomes increasingly interconnected and complex, regional blocs like ASEAN face both unparalleled opportunities and multifaceted challenges. The ASDB emerges as a strategic response to this dynamic, offering a platform that is uniquely attuned to the region's nuances, challenges, and potential. Several compelling rationales underscore the importance of the ASDB. Firstly, the bank can serve as a beacon of regional autonomy, allowing ASEAN to sculpt policies and strategies that deeply resonate with its socio-cultural, economic, and geopolitical fabric. Such autonomy is not just about self-determination; it's about crafting a developmental narrative that is both sustainable and reflective of the ASEAN ethos. The bank's potential to address economic disparities, cater to the infrastructure and development needs of the region, and harness the potential of regional projects further solidifies its significance.

However, like any ambitious endeavor, the road to the ASDB's realization is fraught with challenges. Political differences, financial considerations, potential overlaps with existing institutions, and concerns over debt accumulation are just a few of the myriad issues that need meticulous navigation. Yet, these challenges, while significant, are not insurmountable. Drawing lessons from other regional development banks and understanding the intricacies of governance, capital structure, and collaborative relationships can provide valuable insights into addressing these concerns. The potential pilot projects for the ASDB, ranging from green finance innovation to infrastructure development, offer a glimpse into the transformative role the bank can play. These projects, rooted in research and global best practices, can serve as catalysts, driving growth, fostering collaboration, and positioning ASEAN as a global leader in sustainable development.

In summary, the ASDB is not just a financial institution; it represents the collective aspirations of the ASEAN community. Its establishment and subsequent operations will be a testament to the region's vision, resilience, and commitment to a future that is prosperous, inclusive, and sustainable. The onus now lies in ensuring that this vision is translated into actionable strategies, ensuring that the promise of the ASDB is not just realized but exceeded, for the benefit of the present and future generations of the ASEAN community.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Acharya, A. (2018). *The End of American World Order*. Polity Press.

- Aldaba, R. M., & Yap, J. T. (2009). Investment and Capital Flows: Implications of the ASEAN Economic Community. *Research Papers in Economics*.
- Alfaro, L., Chanda, A., Kalemli-Ozcan, S., & Sayek, S. (2004). FDI and economic growth: the role of local financial markets. *Journal of International Economics*, 64(1), 89–112. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1996\(03\)00081-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1996(03)00081-3)
- Ali, S., & Lee, T. F. B. (2022). Deterrence Measure: A Cause for Promoting Regional Instability in South Asia. *Chinese Journal of International Review*, 04(02). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S2630531322500081>
- Amer, R. (1999). Conflict management and constructive engagement in ASEAN's expansion. *Third World Quarterly*, 20(5), 1031–1048. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436599913479>
- Anginer, D., de la Torre, A., & Ize, A. (2014). Risk-bearing by the state: When is it good public policy? *Journal of Financial Stability*, 10, 76–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2013.03.006>
- Arezki, R., & Sy, A. (2016). Financing Africa's Infrastructure Deficit: From Development Banking to Long-term Investing. *Journal of African Economies*, 25(suppl 2), ii59–ii73. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jae/ejw017>
- Bakucs, Z., Fertő, I., Varga, Á., & Benedek, Z. (2018). Impact of European Union development subsidies on Hungarian regions. *European Planning Studies*, 26(6), 1121–1136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2018.1437394>
- Benneworth, P., Conroy, L., & Roberts, P. (2002). Strategic Connectivity, Sustainable Development and the New English Regional Governance. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 45(2), 199–217. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640560220116305>
- Bhaumik, S. K., Owolabi, O., & Pal, S. (2018). Private information, institutional distance, and the failure of cross-border acquisitions: Evidence from the banking sector in Central and Eastern Europe. *Journal of World Business*, 53(4), 504–513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2018.02.005>
- Bibi, G., & Lee, B. T. F. (2023). Ramifications of India's Naval Build-Up in Nuclear Realms. *Margalla Papers*, 27(2). <https://doi.org/10.54690/margallapapers.27.2.171>
- Bloch, H. S. (1968). Regional Development Financing. *International Organization*, 22(1), 182–203. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300013515>
- Bøås, M. (1998). Governance as Multilateral Development Bank Policy: The Cases of the African Development Bank and the Asian Development Bank. *The European Journal of Development Research*, 10(2), 117–134. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09578819808426720>
- Chakraborty, A. (2010). Scenario Planning for Effective Regional Governance: Promises and Limitations. *State and Local Government Review*, 42(2), 156–167. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0160323X10377344>

- Chatterjee, S. (2005). Poverty Reduction Strategies—Lessons from the Asian and Pacific Region on Inclusive Development. *Asian Development Review*, 22(01), 12–44. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0116110505000023>
- Chen, J., Chen, X., Hou, Q., & Hu, M. (2021). Haste doesn't bring success: Top-down amplification of economic growth targets and enterprise overcapacity. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 70, 102059. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2021.102059>
- Demeure, N., & Lee, B. T. F. (2023a). Effect of the zero-covid policy on Chinese FDI inflows and government's response: Has the Pandemic led to distinctive paradigm change in China's hypergrowth approach to development? *Journal of Strategic and Global Studies*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.7454/jsgs.v6i2.1124>
- Demeure, N., & Lee, B. T. F. (2023b). France in The Middle East: A Democratic Justification for Military Interventions in Iraq and Beyond. *Journal of Middle East and Islamic Studies*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.7454/meis.v10i2.167>
- Flögel, F., & Gärtner, S. (2020). The COVID-19 Pandemic and Relationship Banking in Germany: Will Regional Banks Cushion an Economic Decline or is A Banking Crisis Looming? *Tijdschrift Voor Economische En Sociale Geografie*, 111(3), 416–433. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tesg.12440>
- Hakenes, H., Hasan, I., Molyneux, P., & Xie, R. (2014). Small Banks and Local Economic Development. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2390696>
- Huber, M., Roger, A., & Hamacher, T. (2015). Optimizing long-term investments for a sustainable development of the ASEAN power system. *Energy*, 88, 180–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.04.065>
- Jetschke, A., & Murray, P. (2012). Diffusing Regional Integration: The EU and Southeast Asia. *West European Politics*, 35(1), 174–191. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2012.631320>
- Jha, S. C. (1994). Resource mobilization in developing Asia: Changing patterns and emerging issues. *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, 24(4), 459–482. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472339480000271>
- Katsumata, H. (2003). Reconstruction of Diplomatic Norms in Southeast Asia: The Case for Strict Adherence to the “ASEAN Way.” *Contemporary Southeast Asia*, 25(1), CS25-1f. <https://doi.org/10.1355/CS25-1F>
- Khoo, S. P., & Lee, B. T. F. (2024). Role of Fat Talk on The Relationships in The Bruneian Chinese Society. *International Review of Humanities Studies*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.7454/irhs.v9i1.1287>
- Kim, H. J. (2007). Asean Way and Its Implications and Challenges for Regional Integration in Southeast Asia. *Jati- Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, 12. <https://jati.um.edu.my/index.php/jati/article/view/5779>
- Kim Thi Tran, O., Dinh Le, H., & Hong Viet Nguyen, A. (2021). Role of institutional quality in economic development: A case study of Asian countries. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 19(2), 357–369. [https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.19\(2\).2021.29](https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.19(2).2021.29)

- Koetter, M., Noth, F., & Rehbein, O. (2020). Borrowers under water! Rare disasters, regional banks, and recovery lending. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 43, 100811. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfi.2019.01.003>
- Kyle, S., & Sachs, J. (1984). *Developing Country Debt and the Market Value of Large Commercial Banks*. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w1470>
- Lee, B. T. F., Asihaer, A., & Sims, J. P. (2024). The Role of Mayors in Achieving Brunei Darussalam's Wawasan 2035, Lessons from China. *Journal of Global and Strategic Studies*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.7454/jsgs.v7i1.1141>
- Lee, B. T. F., Asihaer, A., Sims, J. P., & Ali, S. (2023). The Interplay of Public Health, Politics, and Economics in COVID-19 Border Control Strategies: A Comparative Study of Brunei Darussalam, UK, China, Germany, and Australia. *Unnes Political Science Journal*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.15294/upsj.v7i2.75374>
- Lee, B. T. F., & Bibi Ms, G. (2023). Power Projection and Counter-Terrorism: Strategies for Small States Like Brunei Darussalam. *Journal of Terrorism Studies*, 5(2). <https://doi.org/10.7454/jts.v5i2.1064>
- Lee, B. T. F., & Sims, J. P. (2023). Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs: A Framework for Understanding China's SOEs, SMEs and Decentralisation. *China Report*, 59(4), 402–421. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00094455231187692>
- Leu, G. (2011). ASEAN's Preferential Trade Agreements (PTA) Strategy. *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*, 30(2), 31–64. <https://doi.org/10.1177/186810341103000203>
- Lissovolik, Y., & Vinokurov, E. (2019). Extending BRICS to BRICS+: the potential for development finance, connectivity and financial stability. *Area Development and Policy*, 4(2), 117–133. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23792949.2018.1535246>
- Marodon, R. (2022). Can Development Banks Step Up to the Challenge of Sustainable Development? *Review of Political Economy*, 34(2), 268–285. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09538259.2021.1977542>
- Máté, D., Rabbi, M. F., Novotny, A., & Kovács, S. (2020). Grand Challenges in Central Europe: The Relationship of Food Security, Climate Change, and Energy Use. *Energies*, 13(20), 5422. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13205422>
- Meslier, C., Sauviat, A., & Yuan, D. (2020). Comparative advantages of regional versus national banks in alleviating SME's financial constraints. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 71, 101471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2020.101471>
- Onder, Z., & Ozyildirim, S. (2010). Banks, regional development disparity and growth: evidence from Turkey. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 34(6), 975–1000. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cje/bep077>
- OUYANG, H., LI, C., LIU, G., ZHANG, M., & LEE, B. T. F. (2022). Development Zones and Firm Innovation: Evidence from Shanghai. *Chinese Journal of Urban and Environmental Studies*, 10(04). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S2345748122500282>

- Perry, G. E. (2013a). Beyond lending: How multilateral banks can help developing countries manage volatility. *Center For Global Development*. .
- Perry, G. E. (2013b). Regional Public Goods in Finance, Trade and Infrastructure. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2353978>
- Pham, Q. M. (2015). ASEAN's Indispensable Role in Regional Construction. *Asia-Pacific Review*, 22(2), 82–101. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13439006.2015.1117183>
- Rattanaseevee, P. (2014). Towards institutionalised regionalism: the role of institutions and prospects for institutionalisation in ASEAN. *SpringerPlus*, 3(1), 556. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-3-556>
- Rezende, F. C. de. (2018). *Financial Sustainability and Infrastructure Finance* (Vol. 1). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198827948.003.0012>
- Rillo, A. (2018). ASEAN Financial Integration: Opportunities, Risks, And Challenges. *Public Policy Review*, 14(5). https://ideas.repec.org/a/mof/journal/ppr14_05_04.html
- Rodriguez, V., & Soeparwata, A. (2012). ASEAN benchmarking in terms of science, technology, and innovation from 1999 to 2009. *Scientometrics*, 92(3), 549–573. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-011-0603-7>
- Rogers, S. (2014). Betting on the strong: Local government resource allocation in China's poverty counties. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 36, 197–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2014.08.001>
- Ryoo, W. (2009). Globalization, or the logic of cultural hybridization: the case of the Korean wave. *Asian Journal of Communication*, 19(2), 137–151. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01292980902826427>
- Sedlacek, S., & Gaube, V. (2010). Regions on their way to sustainability: the role of institutions in fostering sustainable development at the regional level. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 12(1), 117–134. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-008-9184-x>
- Severino, R. C. (2007). The ASEAN Developmental Divide and the Initiative for ASEAN Integration. *Asean Economic Bulletin*, 24(1), AE24-1C. <https://doi.org/10.1355/AE24-1C>
- Sharma, M., Teerawattananon, Y., Dabak, S. V., Isaranuwatthai, W., Pearce, F., Pilasant, S., Sabirin, J., Mayxay, M., Guerrero, M., Phuong, N. K., Sastroasmoro, S., & Htoo, T. S. (2021). A landscape analysis of health technology assessment capacity in the Association of South-East Asian Nations region. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 19(1), 19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-020-00647-0>
- Shi, X. (2015). Energy Efficiencies in ASEAN Region. In *Handbook of Clean Energy Systems* (pp. 1–19). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118991978.hces056>
- Sims, J. P., Lee, Y.-T., & Lee, B. T. F. (2023). New Chinese Economic Policy to Latin America? A QCA Approach to the Belt and Road Initiative. *Chinese Political Science Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41111-023-00244-w>

- Soesastro, H. (1995). ASEAN and APEC: Do concentric circles work? *The Pacific Review*, 8(3), 475–493. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09512749508719151>
- Sun, C., Liu, L., & Tang, Y. (2018). Measuring the Inclusive Growth of China's Coastal Regions. *Sustainability*, 10(8), 2863. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10082863>
- Thangavelu, S. M., & Narjoko, D. (2014). Human capital, FTAs and foreign direct investment flows into ASEAN. *Journal of Asian Economics*, 35, 65–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asieco.2014.11.002>
- Tödtling, F., Prud'homme van Reine, P., & Dörhöfer, S. (2011). Open Innovation and Regional Culture—Findings from Different Industrial and Regional Settings. *European Planning Studies*, 19(11), 1885–1907. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2011.618688>
- Uhlin, A. (2011). National democratization theory and global governance: civil society and the liberalization of the Asian Development Bank. *Democratization*, 18(3), 847–871. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13510347.2011.563121>
- Ventouri, A. (2018). Bank competition and regional integration: Evidence from ASEAN nations. *Review of Development Finance*, 8(2), 127–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rdf.2018.08.002>
- Von Koppenfels, A. K. (2001). Informal but Effective: Regional Consultative Processes as a Tool in Managing Migration. *International Migration*, 39(6), 61–84. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2435.00179>
- Wan, M. (1995). Japan and the Asian Development Bank. *Pacific Affairs*, 68(4). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2761274>
- Wang, Y., Zhao, N., Lei, X., & Long, R. (2021). Green Finance Innovation and Regional Green Development. *Sustainability*, 13(15), 8230. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13158230>
- Xu, X., Xu, X., Chen, Q., & Che, Y. (2016). The research on generalized regional “resource curse” in China's new normal stage. *Resources Policy*, 49, 12–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2016.04.002>
- Yam, T. K., Heng, T. M., & Low, L. (1992). ASEAN and Pacific Economic Co-operation. *ASEAN Economic Bulletin*, 309–332.
- Yuan, C., & Lee, B. T. F. (2023a). ASEAN in the Conflict Management of the Rohingya Crisis. *Journal of Autonomy and Security Studies*, 7, 39–60. <https://jass.journal.fi/article/view/142892>
- Yuan, C., & Lee, B. T. F. (2023b). EXPLORING CHINA'S RESPONSE TO THE ROHINGYA CRISIS: A LIBERAL PERSPECTIVE. *Journal of Terrorism Studies*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.7454/jts.v5i1.1056>
- Yuan, C., & Lee, B. T. F. (2023c). FROM RIVALRY TO PARTNERS: THE EVOLUTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL COOPERATION AMONG CHINA, JAPAN, AND KOREA. *Global: Jurnal Politik Internasional*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.7454/global.v25i1.1267>